

# AGENDA

## Committee of the Whole AGENDA FOR SEPTEMBER 13, 2021 AT 5:30 PM



South Washington Office Complex, 2500 S. Washington Avenue  
To provide input or ask questions on any item that is listed on the agenda,  
members of the public may contact the City Council at [city.council@lansingmi.gov](mailto:city.council@lansingmi.gov) or (517) 483-4177 prior to the meeting.

**Based on the recommendations from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC)**  
**City Council President Spadafore is requesting members of the public, City Staff and Council Members**  
**wear face coverings at meetings of the City Council and their Committees.**

---

Council Member Spadafore, Chairperson  
Council Member Hussain, Vice Chairperson

- 1. Call to Order**
- 2. Roll Call**
- 3. Minutes**
  - A. August 9, 2021
- 4. Public Comment on Agenda Items (Up to 3 Minutes)**
- 5. Presentations:**
  - B. Lansing School District Update - Superintendent Ben Shuldiner
  - C. Arts and Culture Commission Vice Chair - Mike Marriott
- 6. Discussion/Action:**
  - D. RESOLUTION - Support of Lansing School District and Board of Education
  - E. RESOLUTION - Introduction and Setting Public Hearing; Chapter 266, Section 266.01-266.03; Establish Arts and Culture Commission
  - F. DISCUSSION - Budget Priorities for FY 2022/2023- Begin Review/Discussion (Adopt September 20, 2021)
- 7. Other**
- 8. Adjourn**

Persons with disabilities who need an accommodation to fully participate in these meetings should contact the City Council Office at 517-483-4177 (TTY 711) 24 hour notice may be needed for certain accommodations. An attempt will be made to grant all reasonable accommodation requests.

# **DRAFT**



## **MINUTES**

### **Committee of the Whole**

**Monday, August 9, 2021 @ 5:00 p.m.**

**Lansing City Hall, Tony Benavides Lansing City Council Chambers**

### **CALL TO ORDER**

Council President Spadafore called the meeting to order at 5:01 p.m.

### **PRESENT- via audio/video**

Councilmember Peter Spadafore  
Councilmember Adam Hussain  
Councilmember Carol Wood  
Councilmember Patricia Spitzley  
Councilmember Kathie Dunbar  
Councilmember Brandon Betz  
Councilmember Jeremy Garza  
Councilmember Brian T. Jackson

### **OTHERS PRESENT**

Sherrie Boak, Council Staff  
Mark Lawrence, Mayor's Office- arrived at 5:03 p.m.  
Lisa Hagen, City Attorney  
Heather Sumner, City Attorney  
Eric Brewer, Council Internal Auditor  
Jim DeLine  
Joe Abood, City Attorney  
Jim Smiertka, City Attorney – arrived at 5:04 p.m.  
Loretta Stanaway  
James Lancaster, Representative from Rank MI Vote Ballot Committee  
Max Donovan  
Matt Kooiman  
Hugh McNichol

### **Minutes**

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HUSSAIN TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM JULY 26, 2021 AS PRESENTED. MOTION CARRIED 8-0.

### **Public Comment**

Mr. McNichol spoke in support of Rank Choice Voting and noted items in the letter from Barb Byrum.

## **DRAFT**

Mr. Kooiman, spoke on the agenda item for action on the bonding hearing, outlining the required public hearing. He noted this is to refinance the school facilities through the bonds, and the hearing must be held in the municipality where the bonds will be used. Once the hearing is held and approved, the bonds will be loaned by the Authority to Campus Partners 1. There is no liability for the City, and allows funds for the schools.

Ms. Stanaway spoke in opposition to Rank Choice Voting.

Mr. Donovan spoke in support of Rank Choice Voting.

Mr. DeLine spoke in support of Rank Choice Voting.

### **Presentation**

#### **Elections – Rank Choice Voting**

Mr. Lancaster spoke briefly on his past experience, and then spoke on what he believes are the legality issues, such 321 and 323 of Michigan Election Law. He then focused on the Home Rule Cities and what the Council can determine for their elections. Lastly, Mr. Lancaster referenced an email from the City Clerk on Dominion Voting Systems.

Council Member Jackson stepped away at 5:35 p.m.

Ms. Byrum acknowledged the Council for the invite and a second consideration to hear all the facts before making their decisions.

Council Member Jackson returned to the meeting at 5:37 p.m.

Ms. Byrum referenced her letter in the packet, and noted that there is currently no State certified equipment for Rank Choice Voting, and in East Pointe the Clerk has had to purchase other equipment outside of the State approved to tabulate the elections. At this time the program they are using is a “free-ware” program and unsecured, so if that system was used in the City in Ingham County she would require hand tabulation of votes. Ms. Byrum added that if Lansing moves forward she would be forced to purchase equipment dedicated only to the City of Lansing. She could not guarantee the security, and in addition there would be a bill in excess of \$100,000. Ms. Byrum did inform the Committee that Ferndale did approve RCV years ago, however have never utilized it because of the cost and lack of technology that supports it. It was understood that if the City chose to change the Charter, it would be hard to understand their actions being that she, as the County Clerk, has advised them that it would not be an option. Ms. Byrum also made a point of fact that prior to Council getting the proposed ballot language her office was never consulted. She again stated that the voting equipment is not certified run Rank Choice Voting.

Council Member Wood asked if there has been any correspondence with the AG or Board of Elections. Ms. Byrum, asked if she was speaking about if the County Clerk has spoken to them about signing off on the ballot language, she has not spoken to them but when reaching out before they have not responded.

Council Member Jackson asked if she has any rebuttal to the earlier statement that it is legal under MCL and the Home Rules City. Ms. Byrum stated in Michigan there is de-centralized, the elections are still run through the Michigan Election State Law. She noted she works with the election manual, and all of that does not account for Rank Choice Voting. Doing changes like this at the local level is not the right way, she advised the Committee that if they want to pursue it further they should work on it at the State level so everyone in the State has it.

## **DRAFT**

Council Member Jackson noted he read in materials that it is being advised to start at the local level, and so asked if the State runs the elections, or are they done through the local Charter's and then Election Law does the local. Ms. Byrum explained that her office programs all the elections, programs the tabulators, and then disburses all the programming to the local municipalities in that County. The County Clerk prints, purchases all the materials, and the City Clerk runs the elections. At the end of the Election Day the results come to the County, and after the elections her office works with the Board of Canvassers for any disputes before they certify the election. Regarding the State involvement, they are involved on State and Federal items on the ballot. It was noted the City owns the tabulators

Council Member Betz stepped away from the meeting at 5:51 pm

Council Member Spitzley spoke about the free-ware Ms. Byrum mentioned in her presentation (freeware), and then the Dominion brand, which states there is a purchase of licensing fee. She asked for clarification on the "freeware" program. Ms. Byrum clarified that purchasing of a license would be the State Certification, but she made a point of clarifying that the email from the City Clerk on the Dominion brand was not sent to her, so she could not speak to that. She concluded by making a point that the State purchases all materials, and would prefer the State developed the system.

Council Member Betz returned to the meeting at 5:52 p.m.

Mr. Smiertka introduced Ms. Hagen

Council Member Spadafore passed the gavel and stepped away from the meeting at 5:54 p.m.

He outlined the issues that were raised including implementation, the provisions, and if it is legal.

Ms. Hagen briefly gave an overview on the request by Council for an opinion on Rank Choice, Voting. She stated to the Committee that while constitutional, based on Home Rules City, Charter and Election, it cannot be legally implemented until the law is changed. The Home Rule does speak to time, place and manner on the elections. And the City Charter has a provision that provides guidance that they lean on State law. Where there are gaps in the Charter, the OCA looks at State law.

Council Member Spadafore returned to the meeting at 5:57 p.m. and Council Member Hussain passed the gavel.

Ms. Hagen continued by clarifying that Michigan Election Law needs to be amended for this to be implemented in the City of Lansing. In regards to the recent Ann Arbor decisions, they are putting in their ballot language specifically stating before it is implemented it will require a State law. If the City of Lansing pursues this, they would be in the same position.

Council President Spadafore asked when Charter Amendments can appear on the ballot, and Ms. Hagen responded by stating on the next General Election or a special election within 50 days of the proposal being approved. If Council were to pass the language tonight it would be on the next General. If Council wants to do more research, it can be proposed at a future election. Council President Spadafore informed the Committee that the State could be working on law changes so the City could propose their changes as part of the action.

Council Member Dunbar referred Ms. Hagen to the Ann Arbor language and the proposed WHEREAS in that document that spoke to the timing of the State law, and asked if there was a reason the City couldn't add the same language. Ms. Hagen read the language from the

## **DRAFT**

Ann Arbor ballot language; “Whereas, it would be beneficial to have the enabling Charter language in place should the legislation pass....” Council Member Dunbar then asked if the City made a change now and put that same language in their ballot language, would it have to go back to the AG for review and approval. Ms. Hagen stated that when it passed in the first meeting the Clerk already sent it onto the AG. Council Member Dunbar then asked if the AG had approved the Ann Arbor ballot language, and Ms. Hagen could not speak to that.

Council Member Spitzley asked for more details on the equipment aspect. Ms. Byrum stated that when using the proposed Dominion Equipment, the County Clerk will make the determination with input from the local Clerks. In this case, she stated there will be different system needed solely for the City of Lansing. It would still fall under the County Clerk because they do the programming.

Council Member Jackson asked if a document is approved tonight would it go to the AG. Ms. Hagen stated that the Home Rules City Act covers it and the AG approves to compliance, and approve to form. The AG would not provide a legal opinion on the legality of it. Council Member Jackson asked if there is any harm in having the AG review it and if it is not legal that determination is made through that office. Ms. Hagen deferred to the OCA, but noted there is not dispute it is legal. According to the Charter, there is not a legal way for it to be implemented, and regarding the AG approval, it would be like Ferndale; in the Charter but not able to be implemented. Council Member Jackson asked why it wouldn't be able to be implemented. Ms. Hagen explained there are technicalities per the Clerk, some election law that deal with that would need to be amended. Council Member Jackson asked if the AG determination of it not being legal could then allow for the State Election Law to be changed. Ms. Hagen clarified for Council Member Jackson she could not speak for the AG. Mr. Smiertka interrupted to state the discussion was getting into advisory.

Council Member Betz asked if the AG would approve compliance with law if the City put in the same language as Ann Arbor. Again Ms. Hagen stated she could not speak for the AG.

Council Member Hussain spoke in opposition to acting on language now, when he believed it would be more constructive to pass a resolution in support of changes in the State law to make this more admissible.

Council Member Wood asked if both ballot proposals (one for Rank Choice Voting and one to remove the Primary Election) would have to pass, and if so, if Rank Choice Voting does not pass, but the removal of the Primary Election passes, what would the City do then. Ms. Hagen confirmed they are tied together so until Rank Choice Voting is implemented, the Primary Election would not be eliminated.

Council Member Jackson spoke again on what guidance the AG can provide. Council Member Betz concurred with Council Member Jackson, however made a point that if the AG gives their opinion the City can still be sued, and therefore things should be thoroughly thought out before action. Council Member Dunbar added that if the AG says it is not correct language then it will never make it to the ballot. She also added that the City Clerk in the past has stated the City could save funds in the amount of 6 figures if there is no primary.

### **DISCUSSION/ACTION**

#### **RESOLUTION – Setting a Public Hearing; Consideration of Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibilities Act (TEFRA) Bond for the Lansing Charter Academy**

Mr. Smiertka explained to the Committee that there is no financial obligation on the City. There is a bonding issues that is being proposed for the construction of a charter school, and the financing requirement is that there be approval by the local public body after that public

## **DRAFT**

body holds their public hearing; that public body being the City of Lansing where the school would be built.

Council President Spadafore asked how many days are needed for the public notice, and the OCA responded it needs to be published 7 days before the hearing.

Council Member Wood asked the OCA if this is required of the City, noting she supports the public school system. Mr. Smiertka stated it is a requirement that the public body hold the hearing as part of the process to follow by the State Bond Act.

Council Member Spitzley asked Mr. Smiertka what happens with the application if the Council chooses not to approve. Mr. Smiertka clarified the City is holding the hearing, not approving the bonds. Council Member Spitzley then asked what would happen if the Council chose not to hold the hearing, and Mr. Smiertka stated then the applicant would not comply with IRS code and not be eligible for the bonds.

**MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HUSSAIN TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO SET THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR AUGUST 23, 2021. MOTION FAILED 4-4.**

### Other

Council Member Dunbar asked for the status of the Rank Choice Voting resolution vote. Council President Spadafore explained it was reconsidered at the last Council meeting, and sent back to Committee (Committee of the Whole). There were votes on reconsideration at that meeting and votes on referring back to Committee.

Council President Spadafore passed the gavel to Council Member Hussain.

**MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER SPADAFORE TO RECONSIDER THE PREVIOUS VOTE. MOTION CARRIED 1-7.**

### **CLOSED SESSION**

**MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HUSSAIN AT 6:24 PM:** Pursuant to MCL 15.268(e) Closed session in connection with specific pending litigation. An open meeting will have a detrimental financial effect on the litigation or settlement position of the Lansing City Council concerning these cases:

|                                                         |
|---------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Arnold v. Fielder and City of Lansing</b>            |
| <b>Atkinson et al. v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>       |
| <b>Burwell v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>               |
| <b>Calkins-Darling v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>       |
| <b>Chen v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                  |
| <b>City of Lansing v. Angavine Holdings LLC</b>         |
| <b>City of Lansing v. OCOF Nonprofit Housing Corp</b>   |
| <b>City of Lansing, et al. v. Purdue Pharma, et al.</b> |
| <b>Doe, Jane v. City of Lansing, et al. (1)</b>         |

## **DRAFT**

|                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>Doe, Jane v. City of Lansing, et al. (2)</b>                 |
| <b>Fire Farm, LLC v. City of Lansing</b>                        |
| <b>Funk v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                          |
| <b>Hill v. Whitford and City of Lansing</b>                     |
| <b>Hardy v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                         |
| <b>Hulon v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                         |
| <b>Lynn v. City of Lansing</b>                                  |
| <b>Lynn v. Mayor Schor, et al.</b>                              |
| <b>OPV Partners, LLC and RESSCO, LLC v. City of Lansing</b>     |
| <b>Overstrom v. City of Lansing</b>                             |
| <b>Reed v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                          |
| <b>Spalding v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                      |
| <b>Stafford v. Klein and City of Lansing</b>                    |
| <b>Taylor v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>                        |
| <b>Trevino and HydroWorld v. City of Lansing, et al.</b>        |
| <b>Wagner v. O'Boyle</b>                                        |
| <b>Kyle Shaw Residential Properties, LLC v. City of Lansing</b> |
| <b>McLaren v. City of Lansing</b>                               |
| <b>Petition to Strike from Tax Rolls</b>                        |

ROLL CALL VOTE. MOTION CARRIED 7-1.

### **RECONVENE**

Council President Spadafore reconvened the meeting at 7:02 p.m.

Council Member Jackson asked for clarification on the reconsideration action earlier. Council President Spadafore state it was for the reconsideration on the previous vote of the action item on the agenda tonight that failed 4-4.

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER JACKSON TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION ON RANK CHOICE VOTING, 202.19.

Council Member Dunbar asked for the amended language to be added to move it forward. Council President Spadafore stated it needs to be in writing because it is the official ballot language. Council Member Jackson asked for five (5) minutes to write the language and Council President Spadafore reiterated it is not up for action at this time, noting the agenda and packet went out Thursday, August 5<sup>th</sup>, so there was plenty of time for any Council Member

## **DRAFT**

to amend the resolutions prior to the meeting for the Committee to review. Council Member Spitzley addressed the Committee stating her opposition to supporting anything that is flawed.

MOTION FAILED 1-7.

### **Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 7:06 pm

Respectfully Submitted by,

Sherrie Boak, Recording Secretary

Lansing City Council

Approved by the Committee

RESOLUTION BY THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE  
RESOLVED BY THE CITY OF LANSING CITY COUNCIL

WHEREAS, the Lansing School District Board of Education has publicly recognized the overwhelming body of evidence that vaccines are highly safe and extremely effective. Due to their desire to keep safety as the top priority of the District and to benefit from in-person learning for the entire school year, the Board has required all faculty and staff receive a complete course of the vaccines; and

WHEREAS, the Lansing School District Board of Education is strongly committed to family health and safety. Therefore, under partnership with the Lansing School District COVID Coordination Team and guidance from local, state and federal health organizations have created a series of policies and strategies to support the Lansing area youth in learning while keeping them safe, healthy, happy, engaged and supported; and

WHEREAS, the Lansing School District Board of Education has provided Lansing area families guidance on their decisions to require masks, social distancing, contact tracing, screening and their planned procedures for cleaning, mitigation strategies for lunch periods and a cohorting strategies within the classrooms.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Lansing City Council would like to recognize the Lansing School District and their Board of Education for the preventative steps they have taken at the start of the 2021-2022 school year to keep the nearly 10,000 students a top priority in safe and healthy protocols.

## INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE

Council Member \_\_\_\_\_ introduced:

An Ordinance of the City of Lansing, Michigan, for the purpose of amending the Lansing Codified Ordinances by adding Chapter 266, Sections 266.01-266.03, to establish an Arts and Culture Commission in and for the City of Lansing including membership, duties and meetings.

The Ordinance is read a first time by its title and referred to the Committee on  
\_\_\_\_\_

### RESOLUTION SETTING PUBLIC HEARING BY CITY COUNCIL

Resolved by the City Council of the City of Lansing that a public hearing be set for  
\_\_\_\_\_ at 7 p.m. in Tony Benavides Lansing City Council Chambers, Tenth Floor, Lansing City Hall, 124 West Michigan Avenue, Lansing, Michigan, for the purpose of amending the Lansing Codified Ordinances by adding Chapter 266, Sections 266.01-266.03, to establish an Arts and Culture Commission in and for the City of Lansing including membership, duties and meetings.

ORDINANCE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LANSING, MICHIGAN, TO AMEND THE  
LANSING CODIFIED ORDINANCES BY ADDING CHAPTER 266, SECTIONS 266.01-  
266.03, TO ESTABLISH AN ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION IN AND FOR THE  
CITY OF LANSING INCLUDING MEMBERSHIP, DUTIES, AND MEETINGS.

THE CITY OF LANSING ORDAINS:

Section 1. That Chapter 266, Sections 266.01-266.03, of the Code of Ordinances of the  
City of Lansing, Michigan be and is hereby amended to read as follows:

CHAPTER 266 – ~~Mal Advisory Board~~ ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION.

266.01 – ESTABLISHMENT; POWERS AND DUTIES.

THERE IS HEREBY ESTABLISHED AN ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION IN AND  
FOR THE CITY. THE ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION SHALL:

(A) MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING ALL ARTS AND CULTURAL  
ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE CITY OF LANSING;

(B) DEVELOP AND RECOMMEND ONGOING ARTS AND CULTURE  
PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND BEST PRACTICES;

(C) FULFILL ALL ADVISORY BOARD FUNCTIONS SET FORTH IN SECTION 5-106  
OF THE CITY CHARTER.

266.02 – MEMBERSHIP; TERMS; VACANCIES.

(A) THE ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION SHALL CONSIST OF TWELVE (12)  
MEMBERS WHO ARE REGISTERED ELECTORS OF THE CITY WHO ARE APPOINTED  
BY THE MAYOR, WITH THE ADVICE AND CONSENT OF CITY COUNCIL.

1 (B) MEMBERS SHALL BE APPOINTED BEFORE JULY 1 OF THE YEAR OF  
2 APPOINTMENT AND SHALL SERVE UNTIL JUNE 30 OF THE YEAR IN WHICH THEIR  
3 TERMS EXPIRE OR UNTIL THEIR SUCCESSORS QUALIFY FOR OFFICE.

4 (C) EIGHT MEMBERS SHALL BE FROM THE CITY-AT-LARGE AND ONE MEMBER  
5 SHALL BE APPOINTED FROM EACH OF THE FOUR WARDS.

6 (D) THE TERMS OF OFFICE FOR THE MEMBERS SHALL BE FOUR YEARS,  
7 EXCEPT FOR THE FOLLOWING MEMBERS FIRST-APPOINTED TERMS:

8 (1) THE FIRST WARD MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR ONE YEAR.

9 (2) THE SECOND WARD MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR TWO  
10 YEARS.

11 (3) THE THIRD WARD MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR THREE  
12 YEARS.

13 (4) THE FOURTH WARD MEMBER SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR FOUR  
14 YEARS.

15 (5) AT-LARGE MEMBERS SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR ONE, TWO, THREE,  
16 AND FOUR YEARS RESPECTIVELY.

17 (E) THEREAFTER, ALL SUBSEQUENT ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION  
18 MEMBERS SHALL BE APPOINTED FOR FOUR YEAR TERMS.

19 (F) VACANCIES SHALL BE FILLED FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE UNEXPIRED  
20 TERM.

21 266.03 – RULES OF PROCEDURE; MEETINGS; SUPPLY OF FACILITIES AND  
22 SERVICES.

THE ARTS AND CULTURE COMMISSION SHALL ORGANIZE ITSELF PURSUANT TO SECTION 5-105 OF THE LANSING CITY CHARTER, INCLUDING THE PUBLIC NOTICE AND PARTICIPATION REQUIREMENTS THEREIN AND SUBJECT TO THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT. THE OFFICE OF THE MAYOR SHALL PROVIDE MEETING SPACE AND SUCH STAFF ASSISTANCE AS IS REASONABLE AND NECESSARY TO ENABLE THE ARTS AND CULTURE BOARD TO CARRY OUT ITS DUTIES.

Section 2. All ordinances, resolutions or rules, parts of ordinances, resolutions or rules inconsistent with the provisions are repealed.

Section 3. Should any section, clause or phrase of this ordinance be declared to be invalid, the same shall not affect the validity of the ordinance as a whole, or any part thereof other than the part so declared to be invalid.

Section 4. This ordinance shall take effect on the 30th day after enactment, unless given immediate effect by City Council, and shall expire December 31, 2029.

Approved as to form:

\_\_\_\_\_  
City Attorney

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

AS ADOPTED UNDER RESOLUTION 2020-182 FOR FY 2021/2022  
**RED** is where I have already updated for the correct year (FY2022/2023)

**RESOLUTION #2020-182**  
BY THE COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE  
RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF LANSING

WHEREAS, the Charter of the City of Lansing requires the Council to adopt an annual statement of Budget Policies and Priorities serving to guide the Administration in developing and presenting the Fiscal Year **2022/2023** Budget; and

WHEREAS, the City Council established the following Mission;

The City of Lansing's mission is to ensure quality of life by:

- I. Promoting a vibrant, safe, healthy and inclusive community that provides opportunity for personal and economic growth for residents, businesses and visitors,
- II. Securing short- and long-term financial stability through prudent management of city resources,
- III. Providing reliable, efficient and quality services that are responsive to the needs of residents and businesses,
- IV. Adopting sustainable practices that protect and enhance our cultural, natural and historical resources,
- V. Facilitating regional collaboration and connecting communities; and

WHEREAS, the standing committees of the City Council have met to evaluate the budget and recommend an annual statement of budget policies and priorities to guide the Administration in developing and presenting the Fiscal Year **2022/2023** budget.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Lansing City Council has established the following as its Fiscal Year **2022/2023** Budget Policies and Priorities and requests that the Administration review them and encourages that they, to the extent practical, be included in the Administration's budget presented due to the City Council by the fourth Monday in March **2022**.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee of the Whole has established the following priorities:

1. \$100,000: (1) FTE focus specifically on housing legal assistance for tenants and homeowners in the City.
2. Fund sidewalk repair by \$200,000 for a total of \$500,000, with a priority placed on underserved areas.
3. Fund local street repair funding by \$1.7 million for a total of \$5 million, with a priority placed on underserved areas.
4. Funding for GED prep and passage program.
5. Funding to disseminate information on how to file a Complaint against the police.

**AS ADOPTED UNDER RESOLUTION 2020-182 FOR FY 2021/2022**  
**RED is where I have already updated for the correct year (FY2022/2023)**

- a. Printing costs to add text to reverse side of of LDP business cards.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee on Development and Planning has established the following priorities:

1. \$80,000: (1) FTE Financial Empowerment Employee; RE: Focus on Small Business, Minority Businesses; Female Owned Businesses
2. \$215,000: Reactivation of the Façade Improvement Grant Program
3. \$50,000: Analysis of Facade Improvement Grant Program to include Return on Equity Report on Previous Façade Grants provided to small businesses
4. \$40,000: Initiate an RFP for Engagement of Stakeholders and Completion of Community Facilities Plan, including school and county buildings, complete with inventory of assets and goals/objectives for facilities, that will serve as the basis for community facility decisions into the future.
5. End the LEAP subsidy of \$500,000 taking those funds to:
  - \$400,000: (5) FTE Economic Development for Economic Role previously done by LEDC/LEAP
  - \$50,000: Façade Improvement Grant Program
  - \$50,000: Analysis of Façade Improvement Grant Program

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee on Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion has established the following priorities:

1. \$500,000: (4) FTE Social Workers-(1) FTE Administrative Assistant (LFD)
2. \$125,000: (1) FTE Grant Writer (Neighborhood Citizen Engagement OR HRCS); RE: Assist Local Groups and organizations in grant research, grant writing and monitoring.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee on General Services has established the following priorities:

1. \$5,000: Training Modules on Ethics Ordinance and Related Policies, Conflicts of Interest Policies, and the Open Meetings Act, among others, for Boards and Commissions (Dept. OCA)
2. \$10,000: Printing Costs to Provide Written Materials to Residents who do not have access to computers, internet or cell phones with document capability

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee on Public Safety has established the following priorities:

1. \$11,000: Traffic Speed Wagons (Public Service Department)
2. \$10,000: Portable Speed Bumps (Public Service Department)
3. \$140,000: Reinstate the LFD apprenticeship/cadet program (Lansing Fire Department)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Committee on Ways and Means has established the following priorities:

1. Encourage the Administration to focus in on budget allocations and centralize the fees and costs associated with items such as Information Technology, utilities, etc.
2. Encourage the Chief Strategy Officer to perform an audit report on short and long term resolutions for the current forecast of the City's revenues and expenditures within all departments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City Council would like to continue its commitment, if funding is available, to:

- Maintain and improve the City's infrastructure;
- Preserve and ensure clean, safe, well-maintained housing and neighborhoods;
- Provide comprehensive and affordable recreational programs and youth and family services;
- Explore alternatives for improved efficiency in service and delivery.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the City Council has accepted the following recommendations from the Board of Public Service:

- Increase general fund support for implementation of the City's Street System Asset Management Plan, with a priority placed on reconstructing and preserving neighborhood streets, especially in those areas of the city which have been historically underserved. We encourage the Department to continue utilizing new and innovative ways to extend the life of our existing streets.
- Maintain and expand funding for sidewalk repairs and right-of-way maintenance and improvement, with a priority placed on underserved areas; this should all be done while keeping safety a priority for Lansing residents and visitors, and meeting or exceeding the compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act.
- Maintain and expand funding to update and improve the fleet of city vehicles, with specific priority for the Department.
- Establish Lansing as a leader in PFAS regulation, testing, monitoring and enforcement through maintaining and increasing funding for educational and training purposes, and working with relevant federal, state, county and local governments, and non-government organizations.
- Expand opportunities for multi-family residential and business recycling.
- Follow recommendations (to the greatest extent feasible) of the energy audit of all facilities and properties to save on energy and cut costs by replacing wasteful devices with ones that are energy efficient and reduce the carbon footprint of the City of Lansing.

# **LANSING BOARD OF PUBLIC SERVICE BUDGET PRIORITIES RESOLUTION**

**JULY 8, 2021**

RESOLVED, recognizing the financial challenges facing the City of Lansing (“City”) and its Public Service Department (“Department”), including the Department’s obligations to comply with numerous mandates (including unfunded mandates) the Lansing Board of Public Service supports the efforts of the Department.

RESOLVED, the Board of Public Service supports and recommends the following budget priorities to the Lansing City Council for consideration with Council's Budget Policies and Priorities for the Fiscal Year July 1, 2022–June 30, 2023:

1. Increase general fund support for implementation of the City's Street System Asset Management Plan, with a priority placed on reconstructing and preserving neighborhood streets, especially in those areas of the city which have been historically underserved. We encourage the Department to continue utilizing new and innovative ways to extend the life of our existing streets;
2. Maintain and expand funding for sidewalk repairs and right-of-way maintenance and improvement, with a priority placed on underserved areas; this should all be done while keeping safety a priority for Lansing residents and visitors, and meeting or exceeding the compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act;
3. Maintain and expand funding to update and improve the fleet of city vehicles, with specific priority for the Department;
4. Establish Lansing as a leader in PFAS regulation, testing, monitoring and enforcement through maintaining and increasing funding for educational and training purposes, and working with relevant federal, state, county and local governments, and non-government organizations;
5. Expand opportunities for multi-family residential and business recycling;
6. Follow recommendations (to the greatest extent feasible) of the energy audit of all facilities and properties to save on energy and cut costs by replacing wasteful devices with ones that are energy efficient and reduce the carbon footprint of the City of Lansing.

RESOLVED, as the Department generates savings through improved efficiencies in service delivery and other areas, these savings should be maintained within the Department.