MINUTES
Committee of the Whole
Monday, February 13, 2017 @ 5:30 p.m.
City Council Chambers

CALL TO ORDER
Council President Spitzley called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

PRESENT
Councilmember Brown Clarke
Councilmember Kathie Dunbar
Councilmember Tina Houghton
Councilmember Adam Hussain
Councilmember Patricia Spitzley
Councilmember Jody Washington-excused
Councilmember Carol Wood
Councilmember Jessica Yorko

OTHERS PRESENT
Sherrie Boak, Council Staff
Jim Smiertka, City Attorney
Randy Hannan, Mayor Executive Assistant- arrived 6:24 p.m.
Eric Brewer, Council Internal Auditor
Angela Bennet, Finance Director
Stephen Blann, CPA, CGFM, CGMA, Principal Rehmann Robson
Paul Matz, CPA, CGFM, Assurance Principal Rehmann Robson
Denis Prisk, LPD
Dr. Joan Jackson Johnson
Jim DeLine
Jeremy Behr
Caroline Wong
David Douglass
Tyler Wood
Seth Kalis
Sam Inglot
Randy Eberhard
Carolyn Condell
L. LaShawn Erby
Megan Thompson
Lucas Anthony
Eugene Yacobson

Leslie Hunger
Oscar Castared
John Westra
Mary M. Mine
Kathy Ignasiak
Mikey Taylor
Ed Montemayor
Elva Reyes
Nino Rodriguiez
Eve Brown
Frances Holt
Ed Bowman
Betsy Riley
Diego Bonesatti
Angela Austin
Duncan Tarr
Olivia Guislain
Rachel Recker
Melissa Moorehead
John Krohn
Stephanie Ondeichauin
Chris Jackson
Michael Mercer
Dilhara Muthukuda
Jonathan Evans
Thasin Sardar
Jordan Evens
Zoe Steinfield
Linda Gordon
Josephine Mask
Leslie Hunter

Minutes
MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WOOD TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM JANUARY 30, 2017 AS PRESENTED. MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

Public Comment on Agenda Items 6. A., 6 B., 6 C. limited to 2 minutes
Mr. DeLine acknowledge Mr. Eric on his audit report.

Presentation
Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR)
Ms. Bennett acknowledged the CAFR was presented to the Council and it was on the website. She then did a quick overview of the report and introduced Mr. Blann and Mr. Matz with Rehmann Robson.

Mr. Blann provided an overview of the audit process. The purpose of the document is to answer one simple question, with the 200 pages of data the City is responsible to prepare, and then Rehmann Robson answers the questions on if Council can rely on the data. The Committee was referred to page 11-12 on their opinion. In conclusion Mr. Blann assured Council that they believe the information is reliable. The audit not designed to find small errors but look at big items.

Council Member Brown Clarke asked for the matrix for the monetary and breakdown in procedure. Mr. Blann noted that for material there is a two part focus; 1) dollars and cents and sense, and with the planning perspective starting with a 1%. Even though some are not large in dollar, it could be large.

Council President Spitzley asked if they found any material weaknesses. Mr. Blann admitted that the financial statement audit notes material errors, but it does not answer how they got it, so they do another report. In that report it does focus on material weaknesses. He continued by noting that it is possible to have no material misstatements but have material weaknesses. Any material audit adjustments they work with management. Rehmann Robson did note that in regards to the Pension and OPEP the process is time consuming and the City needs to move things quicker. There are also yearend spreadsheets that are done manually and they are suggesting another set of eyes. Council Member Brown Clarke asked if there has to be reconciliation with human eyes, why they have not purchased software to speak to each other. Mr. Blann explained there are nuances and calculations that require customizing it for Lansing

Mr. Blann referred the Committee to page 28 noting that for a City the size of Lansing, a small list in their conclusion is good news.

Council Member Wood asked what programs and tests were done. Mr. Blann stated it is a single audit every year and rotation. The financial statement audit covers all accounts. Council Member Wood referenced past audits where Rehmann Robson would test an account from the report provided by the Finance Department to make sure the numbers are correct, and then asked which account they tested this year. Mr. Blann stated they always test multiple accounts.

Council Member Wood then asked Ms. Bennett about the reserve account that is utilized for supplies ahead of time, and Ms. Bennett acknowledged it was the Budget Stabilization Fund and those funds are unassigned. In the General Fund there were Committees with carry forwards, inventories, etc. In the 2016 CAFR there is about $930,000 that is fund balance restricted.

Council Member Wood asked Ms. Bennett what the plan is if East Lansing decides to start an income tax. Ms. Bennett stated that that a resident would pay ½% in Lansing and ½% in East Lansing, and at this time they are not sure what the impact of that will be.

Council Member Wood asked Rehmann Robson if they look at anything that deals with performance based budgeting or make recommendations to that. Mr. Blann stated they do not.

Council President Spitzley asked Ms. Bennett to do a comparison between the CAFR letter in 2015 to the recent 2016, and provide an updated on the material weaknesses that appear in both years. One of those weaknesses was the need for additional staff. Ms. Bennett stated the positions have been posted and they hope to start interviewing soon. Mr. Brewer was asked to research how many years the comments have been repeat comments from Rehmann Robson. Council President Spitzley noted to Ms. Bennett those questions would be asked again during the budget.

Council Member Brown Clarke pointed out that on the 2016 Carry Forward, with the pension study they re-appropriated $100,000, and asked was allocated unspent, and the FHT had stated the study would be done in 2016. Ms. Bennett stated she could address that during the discussion on the Carry Forward Resolution.

Mr. Brewer went through his CAFT analysis, confirming he came up with the same conclusion as Rehmann Robson.

Council Member Yorko stepped away from the meeting at 6:16 p.m.

DISCUSSION/ACTION
Mr. Blann and Mr. Matz left the meeting.

Council Member Dunbar stepped away from the meeting at 6:23 p.m.

RESOLUTION – FY2016 Budget Carry Forwards
Ms. Bennett outlined the items in the resolution, noting that the amounts were planned to be spent for that year, but didn't take place by that June 30, 2016 so those were requested as carry forwards. In regards to the General Fund Pension & Retiree Healthcare Study, the $100,000 was not spent and also a carry forward. Council Member Brown Clarke asked why the work was started in 2016, but the billing is in 2017. Ms. Bennett detailed that it took a while for the RFP, and work was performed but the billing came in after the fiscal year. The timeline was pushed back and the retiree health care and pension is complex and they are looking at options. Council President Spitzley reminded Ms. Bennett of a request during the budget last year, where Council asked for a "road map" to roll out the report, and draft recommendations, along with the process on the draft recommendations in a report at a public forum. Ms. Bennett stated she believe it would be presented to the City in late March or early April. The work will start in July, but the recommendations could take some time.

Council Member Dunbar returned to the meeting at 6:29 p.m.

Dr. Joan Jackson Johnson outlined the eleven (11) items from HRCS that were on the Carry Forward Resolution. The amounts were affected due to donations from outside agencies, and Project Homeless Connect was taken over by another agency.

Council Member Yorko returned to the meeting at 6:31 p.m.

Council Member Wood asked after the RFP for the Youth RFP Program if they were able to get anyone and that was the reason for the carry forward. Dr. Jackson Johnson stated they used a portion to add on and expand some programs. They are working with ITECH for this coming year. Council Member Wood then asked about the Women's Supportive Initiative, which Dr. Jackson Johnson stated that with the help from St. Thomas Aquinas, who received a donation, they did not have to spend it.

Council Member Wood then asked Ms. Bennett about the uncompleted Storm Sewer project. Ms. Bennett stated that the storm sewer amount is for the annual storm water MDEQ permit fee and a grant match for from the Army Core of Engineers was completed by June 30. Any other funds on the sheet are for major streets, final maintenance that was related to outstanding purchase orders and contracts.

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER WOOD TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR THE FY2016 BUDGET CARRY FORWARDS. MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

RESOLUTION – Special Operations Budget Appropriations
Council Member Houghton stepped away from the meeting at 6:35 p.m.

Mr. Prisk outlined the resolution which was an increase to the Special Operations from the LPD Drug Forfeiture in the amount to $47,500. This will address three (3) areas; a vehicle, a GPS tracker update and warehouse and facility repairs. Council Member Wood asked how much was left in the State and Local forfeiture fund. Mr. Prisk stated there was $266,600 at the close of FY16. Council Member Wood asked if the project for the repair of lighting has utilized the BWL assets. Mr. Prisk acknowledged he was aware of the grant programs with BWL but has not approached them.

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER HUSSAIN TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR SPECIAL OPERATIONS BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS. MOTION CARRIED 6-0.

RESOLUTION – Special Assessment Capital Project Fund Deficit Elimination Plan

Ms. Bennett informed the Committee that these funds are where the City fronts the fund, and then does a special assessment to get re-paid.

Council Member Dunbar stepped away from the meeting at 6:40 p.m.

Ms. Bennett continued by outlining the criteria changes with the stated and different types of funding.

Council Member Dunbar and Houghton returned to the meeting at 6:41 p.m.

Ms. Bennett noted that the amounts are deferred revenue and in some funds it is recognized, and some funds cannot be recognized in the long term. Because certain criteria is excluded they are not able to receive them as an asset.

MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER DUNBAR TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR THE SPECIAL ASSESSMNT CAPITAL PROJECT FUND DEFICIT ELIMINATION PLAN. MOTION CARRIED 7-0.

RESOLUTION – Proposed Welcoming/Safe Community
Public Comment on Agenda Items 6. D

Council President Spitzley gave an overview of the then recognized Council Member Dunbar, Wood, Deputy City Attorney Abood, City Attorney Jim Smiertka and Mayors Executive Assistant Randy Hannan.

Council Member Dunbar asked for people not to sign in if they wanted to speak. Council President Spitzley stated they will need to sign in if they want to speak at public comment.

Council Member Yorko acknowledge all the public, encouraged decorum and suggested they could other options of their show of support, and provided examples. Council President Spitzley then stated she too respected everyone’s opinion, however the rules of Council and decorum stand, and she prefers they sign their name on the sign in sheet if they want to speak.

Council Member Hussain stepped away from the meeting at 6:47 p.m.

Mr. Smiertka began the outline of his memo and the three (3) components. As the Council legal counsel, he advised them that a Sanctuary City Resolution would place the City in jeopardy in number of front, and they should not move forward until courts have made a decision. The resolution Law looked at initially directed officers to do things that crossed lines between their roles and policies. Another component was the items related to immigration and dealing with immigration officials, this would have place the City at risk.

Council Member Hussain returned to the meeting at 6:50 p.m.

Lastly, his memo speaks to the Executive Order of the President, and in which he speaks to the Sanctuary City designation. Until that definition is defined or the Executive Order is clarified, he sees no reason to move forward

Council Member Houghton stepped away from the meeting at 6:51 p.m.

Mr. Smiertka spoke about the current resolution in front of the Committee, noting that there was a lot of work on the resolution, and it does not invade the Executive Order by asking law enforcement to do or not do something, and does not declare the City to be a Sanctuary City, but instead declares it a Welcoming City. Lastly it does not direct employees of the LPD to do things that would put them in jeopardy of the Federal law.

Council Member Yorko pointed out that there are certain things that are permissible under Michigan Law, but not under Federal Law; example of Medical Marihuana. She then asked if the Federal government can say something is not legal, how can this situation be any different.

Council Member Houghton returned to the meeting at 6:52 p.m.

Mr. Smiertka pointed out that there are Federal Officials in Michigan and arrest people in violation of Federal law and gave an example. The matter of enforcement is if the Federal law is enforced.

Council Member Dunbar asked if local law was assisting the Federal officers with the raids that were given as an earlier example, and if the LPD would become arms of the Federal Government. Mr. Smiertka confirmed that his earlier example, it was the local County officers that assisted. Council Member Dunbar then went into a brief history of her work on the resolution and research, lastly stating she did not agree with City Attorney memo. She noted that she had proposed language but it was not included, however she did collaborate on the current resolution. There is a legal precedence for taking a stand on this, and this executive order has not' been tested, and her opinion was that it appeared someone was "bullying" from Washington, DC. Council Member Dunbar concluded by stating that the sooner the City stands up the sooner the bully goes away.

Council President Spitzley informed the public that when the current resolution was drafted there was collaboration and they did get advisement from LPD and the City Attorney office. The City Council does not have authority to direct LPD to do things, and therefore for Council to include direction to the LPD to do something, it is out of the scope of Council's role, per the City Attorney. Council President Spitzley concluded by stating she believed the resolution reflects what Council can do.

Council Member Brown Clarke detailed her work on the draft resolution and communications to other communities, noting that there are some items being used as the best protocol in making borders. This intent is not the end all, but what can be done right now.

Council Member Hussain asked to hear the public comment.

Council Member Yorko asked to speak on 5-6 amendments she had for the resolution, and understood that Council cannot direct the LPD, but they can be clear on their desires.

Mr. Behr acknowledge Council Member Dunbar and supported her disappointment that her version of the resolution had been torn apart. Mr. Behr went on to speak in support of a sanctuary city, but opposed to the resolution the way it was currently written because his belief is it would not protect immigrants.

Ms. Wong spoke in support of a sanctuary city, and that the City should shield people from un-documentation.

Mr. Douglass spoke as BAMM, asking Council to take a stand.

Mr. Wood spoke in support of a sanctuary city.

Mr. Kalis spoke in opposition to the resolution the way it was written, with his opinion that the resolution does nothing and that Council is backing off.

Mr. Inglot spoke about families being torn apart, asking Council to research and consider a resolution that has “teeth”.

Mr. Eberhard spoke in opposition to a sanctuary city.

Ms. Erby on behalf of Black Lives Matter spoke in support of Council in hopes they understand that it is important to keep families together.

Ms. Thompson spoke in opposition of deputized LPD for enforcement, and asked for stronger policies.

Mr. Anthony spoke in support of the immigrants and opposition of the resolution thus far.

Council Member Wood stepped away from the meeting at 7:28 p.m.

Mr. Yacobson acknowledged Council Member Yorko and Dunbar for their work on the resolution and in support of a sanctuary city.

Mr. Castaede spoke on behalf of Action for Greater Lansing, and supported making the City a sanctuary city.

Council Member Wood returned to the meeting at 7:33 p.m.

Mr. Westoff cited the Oath of Office Council is given noting it states they will “support the Constitution of the United States” and that same oath is given to naturalized citizens. Mr. Westoff spoke in support of a welcoming city.

Ms. Ignasiak spoke in support of immigrants when they follow State and Federal, and in opposition to those that enter illegally. Ms. Ignasiak stated her opinion that BAMM was bullying Council.

Ms. Taylor stated her opinion that the resolution meant nothing, and in opposition that the Mayor was not present at the meeting.

Mr. Montemayo spoke in opposition to what was being proposed by the President of the United States, and that he also had sent Council emails with information.

Ms. Reyes spoke in opposition to just seeing the resolution at 5:30 p.m., not allowing the public time to respond. She then referenced the earlier resolution submitted by Mr. Lopez to the Ad Hoc on Diversity group, noting all that language was removed. Ms. Reyes asked the

Committee of the Whole to table the resolution so her group could speak to their immigration attorneys. Ms. Reyes concluded by speaking in opposition to a welcoming resolution, wanting a safe resolution

Mr. Rodriquez spoke in support of a stronger resolution.

Ms. Brown spoke on personal experience of growing up in a family of immigration.
Mr. Holt spoke in support of a welcoming city, and also asked for more "teeth" in the resolution.

Ms. Riley spoke on the proposed budget amount of $6.5 million the City could lose.

Council Member Brown Clarke stepped away from the meeting at 7:52 p.m.

Mr. Bonesatti spoke in support of a sanctuary city, and noted that the issue is not to ask about their status if it is not mandated by law.

Council Member Brown Clarke returned to the meeting at 7:54 p.m.

Ms. Austin, on behalf of Black Lives Matter quoted Dr. Martin Luther King.

Mr. Tarr spoke in opposition to what Council was doing, and referencing the resolution stated his opinion it was not a sanctuary city resolution.

Mr. Mercer referenced a recent article where the Mayor stated he would veto anything to make the City a sanctuary city.

Ms. Muthukuda spoke in support of a resolution for the safety and security all. Ms. Muthukuda then referred back to the speech by Mayor Bernero on January 30th, then he reversed his opinion shortly later and went to a "stripped down" version of the earlier proposed resolution.

Mr. Evans acknowledged Council for their work on the resolution.

Mr. Sardar spoke in opposition to a resolution that is not sanctuary.

Mr. Montemayor spoke in opposition to the ban.

Council Member Yorko stepped away from the meeting at 8:09 p.m.

Ms. Moorehead spoke in support of a sanctuary city.

Council Member Yorko returned to the meeting at 8:12 p.m.

Mr. Krohn supported Council in doing the right thing, asking them to be a leader and become a sanctuary city.

Council President Spitzley acknowledged that the Council was aware there were people sitting and watching the meeting live in the City Hall lobby, and the Clerk's office was making sure anyone who wanted to speak could come up.

Ms. Oudichanin spoke in opposition to the sanctuary city.

Mr. Jackson spoke in support of sanctuary city.

Council President Spitzley passed the gavel and stepped away from the meeting at 8:17 p.m.

Ms. Steinfield asked Council to stand for something.

Council Member Wood passed the gavel back to Council President Spitzley at 8:18 p.m.

Council President Spitzley assured the Committee and public again that the public in the City Hall lobby were asked again if they wanted to speak.

Mr. Clinkenburger asked the resolution to address a separate reference for each group of immigrants. Mr. Clinkenburger stated his opinion that undocumented is a political corrector for illegal immigrants and asked where the line drawn on illegal activity.

Ms. Leroy spoke in support of a sanctuary city.

Ms. Mask spoke in support of Law, Council and the Mayor, then spoke in opposition to immigrants coming in un-vetted, stating that if there is no way to vet them, then there is no way to let them in.

Ms. Gordon spoke in support of a sanctuary city, and asked the Committee to postpone the vote.

Mr. Hunter spoke on the topic of the earlier resolutions on bills.

Ms. Evans asked Council to be accountable to the residents and tell the Mayor what to do.

Council President Spitzley closed the public comment of the meeting and acknowledged all who spoke. The she went on to state that the Committee was at a cross roads based on comments, and then requested the Committee also consider tabling any action on the resolution for additional comments. Council President Spitzley pointed out that some things will not change because Council cannot direct the LPD on their tasks that is the role of the Police Commission who sets policy and procedures for the LPS. When the Committee talks about revisions, there is a struggle to address them and Council does not have the authority. She concluded that it would be a disservice to continue to work on a document that will not reflect what the public wants to see. To stated “shall and “shall not” in a resolution is something the Council does not have the authority to do.

Council Member Yorko addressed an earlier statement by someone from the public that if someone enters the US without correct documents it is a criminal violation, she clarified it is not, it is a civil violation. Council Member Yorko then asked to introduce her amendments.

Council President Spitzley acknowledged Council Member Yorko but pointed out again that there are things Council can and cannot do.

Council Member Dunbar spoke briefly on what appears to be the people targeted in raids, and that they are talking about going after people that are not illegal. She then referenced a watch list by FAIR, which is a conservative anti-immigration website where it already listed Lansing as an area to watch, and therefore Lansing needs to do something. She then lead into details on her original resolution and a conference call at 1:30 p.m. on 2/14/2017 with the ACLU. Council Member Dunbar asked that the resolution be pulled until more information can be gathered from experts.

Council President Spitzley pointed out that it appears that the City is not on a Federal list, but a conservative list so for Council Member Dunbar to reference that is inappropriate. It is misleading to tell people Lansing is on the list, and then she asked for the list Council Member Dunbar was referencing. Council President Spitzley approached the Committee on pulling the resolution from both agendas until more work can be done with Council, Law and the Administration.

Council Member Brown Clarke pointed out to the public the reason they did not get the resolution until 5:30 is because the entire Council was providing input and some things cannot change. Somethings could get strengthened, but they need to hear from policy conference call Council Member Dunbar mentioned earlier, and Law needs to hear that call also. The Council needs to work with the aspect of where to make recommendations where they have control. She spoke in support of not moving forward.

Council Member Wood reminded the Committee that on January 30, 2017 the City Attorney asked for more time to collect information, however there was a plea from some Council Members to move forward immediately. Law needs adequate time to review anything they research, comments and suggestions from Council Members. She suggested that Council staff compile all Council comments.

Council Member Yorko proposed 5 amendments to the Resolution in front of the Committee, acknowledging that if there was a vote and it failed, it does not preclude a future consideration. MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER YORKO INCLUDING THE amendments read as:

1. Lansing officials, officers and employees do not ask nor record information about a person's immigration status, except as required by Federal or State statute or court decision.
2. Lansing police officers do not pressure, detail, question or arrest any person based solely on his or her immigration status.
3. Lansing officials, officers and employees do not assist Federal immigration officers attempting to pursue, detain, question or arrest any person based solely on his or her immigration status.
4. Lansing officials, officers and employees do not provide Federal immigration officers nonspecific access to municipal or court documents or jail records for the sole purpose of identifying undocumented immigrants.
5. Lansing police officers may assist Federal immigration officers and honor ICW detainers when a) presented with a criminal warrant or court order; b) the individual sought has been convicted of a crime; or c) there is a probable cause to believe the individual sought has committed a crime.

   BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the above policies in no way affect the City of Lansing's commitment or ability to maintain a safe community for all residents. Lansing officials, officers and employees and employees will continue coordinating with and assisting other law enforcement agencies to pursue, detain, arrest and prosecute all persons, including undocumented immigrants, who commit or are suspected of committing crimes in our community, with the understanding that undocumented status is not in and of itself a crime.

Council Member Brown Clarke stated that all Committee members may have input on their own language and she would like to wait on a motion until all that can be given to the City Attorney office.

Council Member Hussain spoke briefly on his encounter with diversity during his campaign in 2015 and spoke in support of taking a pause for the opportunity to weigh in. There are levels that can be because the City is proactive, but certain things cannot do. There may never be a point when people are happy.

Council Member Houghton acknowledged all Council Members for their work thus far on the resolution and Council Member Yorko on her recent amendments. The current process is ever changing and the City needs to be cognizant of all constituents.

Council Member Dunbar supported tabling the resolution.

Council President Spitzley asked Council Member Yorko what her action would be. Council Member Yorko stated her intention was to move the Resolution with the amendments. Council President Spitzley stated her preference to pull the resolution for more input, and ask the City Attorney to participate in the conference call on Tuesday, along with asking Council to forward comments and questions to Council Staff. Council Member Yorko state she would not rescind her motion and again called to move the resolution with the amendments. Mr. Smiertka reminded Council President Spitzley that if it was pulled from this Committee agenda it would not be on the Council agenda later in the evening, because it would not have come out of Committee. If something occurs and it is desired to be acted on at the Council meeting then it will have to be a Late Item and in need of 6 votes to get on the Council agenda later.

Council President Spitzley called for a vote on the motion.

Council Member Wood stated she had not received copies of the amendments and they had not been reviewed by Law either.

Law left the room and made copies.

Council President Spitzley called for a recess at 9:08 p.m.

Council President Spitzley called the meeting back to order at 9:12 p.m.

Council Staff distributed Council Member Yorko's previously stated amendments.

Council President Spitzley reminded the Committee there was a motion on the floor to add amendments to the resolution, and then opened it up for comments from the Committee before the call for a vote. Council Member Yorko clarified her intention that her motion was to approve the presented resolution with the amendments, all in one motion.

Council Member Dunbar acknowledged that the amendments were the similar language she had proposed in her original and were taken out, nothing they should be added in not as new "Whereas", but as "Now therefore be it resolved". Council President Spitzley pointed out that those items were vetted by law, LPD and the administration and that confirmed that Council does not have the authority to direct those policies and then referred to the Charter under the Police Commission.

ROLL CALL VOTE TAKE ON THE MOTION BY COUNCIL MEMBER YORKO FOR THE RESOLUTION WITH HER AMENDMENTS, AND THE MOTION FAILED 3-4. YAY – DUNBAR, HOUGHTON, YORKO; NAY – SPITZLEY, BROWN CLARKE, HUSSAIN, WOOD.

Council Member Brown Clarke pointed out that her vote of no was not against the resolution but against language that the Committee did not get a lot of time to consider.

Council Member Wood made a motion to pull the resolution and asked if Council Member Yorko's amendments, along with any other Council Members suggestions be sent onto to Council Staff to coordinate with the City Attorney for his review.

Council President Spitzley stated there would be no need for a motion to pull it, she as President was pulling it, charging the City Attorney office to listen to the conference call referenced earlier by Council Member Dunbar, and compile all the Committee member suggestions.

The question was raised as to which conference call to utilize and what number, also if it was a public participation. Council Member Dunbar stated it was with Michigan United and all can participate. The representative from the Michigan United Organization Committee stepped up to the microphone in the audience and stated the conference call was not public, but he would reach out to his supervisor to get the information on the call that would be for public participation. Council President Spitzley asked that representative to inform the Council as soon as he was aware so that the City Attorney office and any Council members could participate.

Adjourn

Adjourned at 9:21 p.m.
Respectfully Submitted by,
Sherrie Boak, Recording Secretary
Lansing City Council
Approved by Committee on March 13, 2017